COMMENTS & RESPONSES REPORT

The Comments & Responses Report provided below reflects the comments submitted by the City of Cape Town Spatial Planning and Environmental Directorate: Environmental Management Department in response to the Background Information Document (BID) submitted for the proposed rezoning and subdivision of Portion 16 of the farm Klein Dassenberg No. 20 for the development of a shopping centre.

COMMENT	NAME/ORGANISATION	RESPONSE
1.1 While the Background Information Document (BID) serves as notification that a Basic Assessment will be undertaken, the Metropolitan Spatial Planning & Growth Management (MSP&GM) branch is in a position to provide comment at the early stage of this process on the proposal in context of the City's 2023 approved spatial development frameworks. The spatial development frameworks in question are the Municipal Spatial Development Framework (MSDF) and the Blaauwberg District Plan.	CoCT Spatial Planning and Development Planning	Noted
1.2 Before providing a high-level assessment of the proposal in terms of the aforementioned spatial frameworks, it is important to note that previous comment/communication has been provided in the possible development of the subject property. It has been commented/communicated that the subject property is located within the Discouraged Growth Area (DGA) and further identified as 'Areas of Agricultural Significance' (Refer to the attached Appendix A: Previous comment from MSP and DSP Klein Dassenberg 20210217 in this regard).		Noted and has been considered within the
1.3 These principles remain as part of the 2023		Noted



approved spatial frameworks	
1.4 In June 2022, as part of the consultation	Noted. Discussions were
processes of the MSDF and DSDF, a position	initiated in May 2025 for the
was proposed on the review of the Urban	amendment of the Urban
Development Edge (UDE) including the	Edge. Various letters of
Coastal Edge (CE). During this process, the	support have been received.
City's spatial planning technical team had	See Appendix F4.
engaged with applicants (i.e. residents,	
landowners and their consultants) requesting	
for their properties to be within the UDE.	
Subsequent to engaging with the applicants	
on their responses/suggestions received, the	
outcome of discussions held with the Western	
Cape Government Department of Agriculture,	
who are the owners of the 'Areas of	
Agricultural Significance' spatial layer,	
remained resolute on their position in respect	
of protection of the agricultural landscape.	
	Noted. Discussions were
Every land parcel identified as 'Areas of	initiated in May 2025 for the
Agricultural Significance' has been	amendment of the Urban
incorporated in Map 5c and 5d of the MSDF	Edge. Various letters of
as well as in each of the 8 DSDF maps -	support have been received.
Referencing Blaauwberg District Plan in this	See Appendix F4.
case. The below extract from the UDE/CE	OGG / (ppolidix 1-1.
Report articulates the position taken with	
regards to the area where suggestions	
have been received to include within the	
UDE.	
While the subject property may not have	
been engaged with explicitly in the UDE/CE	
report as shown above, this portion has	
been previously commented as part of the	
2009 Atlantis Village proposal. At the time	
also proposed as a retail centre.	
1.5 Based on the spatial characteristics	Noted and considered.
impacting the subject property, the proposal	
outlined in the BID which is urban in nature	
(retail) is currently not consistent with the 2023	
approved MSDF. Portion 16 of Farm 20 is	
located within a Spatial Transformation Area	



	<u>, </u>
(STA) termed the Discouraged Growth Area	
(DGA) and portion 16 is further identified as	
'Areas of Agricultural Significance'	
1.6 Table 4.3 of the MSDF provides the	An Agricultural assessment
spatial rationale, principles, informants and	was conducted. See
guidelines with regards to development in	Appendix G6.
each of the STAs. Furthermore, Table 5.1	
(Policy guidelines) and Table 5.4	
(Development directives), respectively	
provides environmental considerations, risk	
and social factors that are likely to impact	
on the development potential of sites, and	
may trigger additional legislative processes	
which should be carefully considered as part	
of the EIA process. It should also be noted	
that any reasoning provided that refers to	
soils with low agricultural potential or the	
viability thereof is not sufficient reason to	
consider allocating urban development rights	
within Areas of Agricultural Significance.	
Therefore, it is recommended that any	
agricultural specialist reports that is	
undertaken be presented to the Western	
Cape Department of Agriculture (WCDoA).	
1.7 The location of Portion 16 of Farm 20	Noted
together with its proposal triggers 3 of the 7	
MSDF's key maps, namely Map 5C: Areas of	
Agricultural Significance, Map 5D:	
Consolidated Spatial Plan and Map 5F:	
Heritage Conservation Areas and Cultural	
Landscapes as shown on the attached	
Annexure A	
1.8 In terms of the more detailed scale of	Noted and considered.
planning flowing from the MSDF, portion 16	
of Farm 20 is located within the Klein	
Dassenberg Area as illustrated in the 2023	
approved Blaauwberg District Plan (BDP).	
The relevant sub-district guidelines are	
applicable to Portion 16 of Farm 20:	
1.8.1 Protect and enhance the rural	
<u>character:</u>	
	Management measures are



	T	mandal advidale de FRAD. C
 Protect scenic resources and aesthetic character (rural and agricultural) of the area through rural landscape management. The R304 scenic route and historic blue gum tree lane should be protected 		provided within the EMPr for the protection of the Blue gum trees.
 1.8.2 Promote and encourage maintenance of the economic value of agricultural land to ensure sustainable and continued agricultural production and utilisation of land parcels: Protect agricultural potential of land through maintaining minimum farm sizes in line with provincial guidelines and retaining agriculture as the primary zoning and land use. In terms of the Municipal Planning By-law 2015, no new subdivision or any remainder that is zoned and intended to remain zoned agriculture will be less than 20 ha if no overlay zone exists. 		
1.9 As an early comment into this process, in addition to previous comments already provided (refer to Appendix A), the following should be noted as the EIA progresses: 1.9.1 The proposed land use (Retail) is currently inconsistent with both the 2023 approved MSDF and relevant DSDF. 1.9.2 Any agricultural study which is planned to be undertaken as an informant to the EIA process should be communicated and discussed further with the Western Cape Department of Agriculture (WCDoA) who are owners of the <i>Areas of Agricultural Areas</i> spatial layer.		An Agricultural assessment was conducted. See Appendix G6.



2.1 The subject site is zoned Agricultural. Be	 A Land Use Application was
advised that a shopping centre is not a	submitted on 18 June 2025.
permitted land use within the Agricultural	
zone. In the event of the landowner pursuing	
this proposed retail development a rezoning	
application in terms of the Municipal Planning	
By-law will be required.	
by-law will be required.	
O O In light of the above magnificated in part	
2.2 In light of the abovementioned input	
from the Metropolitan Spatial Planning and	
Growth Management Department a deviation	
from the Municipal Spatial Development	
Framework may be warranted	
3.1 The subject site is not selected on the City	Noted
of Cape Town biodiversity network map for	
conservation requirements	
3.2 The subject property is situated between	Noted. Emergency
the 5 – 16km Urgent Protective Action Planning	measures will be included as
Zone (UPZ) boundary of the Koeberg Nuclear	part of the shopping center's
Power Station (KNPS). The Disaster Risk	overall Emergency response
Management Centre (DRMC) is the custodian	and is included within the
(on behalf of the City of Cape Town) for the	EMPr.
execution of the Koeberg Nuclear Power	
Station Radiological Release Hazard Disaster	
Risk Management Plan (RRR). The DRMC is	
tasked with the responsibility of ensuring that	
the public safety arrangements are in place in	
the case of a nuclear emergency and that	
individual citizens are not endangered with	
particular emphasis on the population residing	
in the UPZ of the 0 – 16km area from the KNPS	
3.3 Procedure 7.2.38 (revision 2), dated	The TEM form has been
16/10/2015 of the City of Cape Town: Koeberg	attached as Appendix M.
Nuclear Power Station Radiological Release	
Hazard Disaster Risk Management Plan (RRR)	
stipulates that the Traffic Evacuation Model	
(TEM) Testing Protocol shall be processed	
'once the City's Department of Development	
Management received an application for land	
use changes or the Environmental	
Management Department (EMD) receives	
any documentation relating to the National	
Environmental Management Act.	
_As such, the <u>attached</u> TEM form must be	



completed and attached to the draft BAR in order to test whether the increased population as a result of the proposed mining activity can be evacuated within 16 hours 3.4 The BID states that a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) is identified as a specialist study to be undertaken during the Basic Assessment process. Section 38 of the National Heritage Resources Act, no 25 of 1999 (NHRA) is applicable and a Notification of Intent to Develop (NID) submission to Heritage Western Cape (HWC) is required in this regard	A NID was submitted to the HWC. A response was received from HWC on 15 November 2023. Ancillary information is provided as Appendix G2.
3.5 The historic blue gum lane along the R304 has been identified as a grade 3B heritage resource in the cultural landscape with the following statement of significance: Remains of the old road to Mamre, originally being part of the 18 th century informal road network linking the settlement of Cape Town to the outposts in the interior.	Noted
4. The Water Demand Management Branch provided the following comment pertaining to water and sanitation infrastructure capacity.4.1 Water Reticulation:	Service Confirmation letters have been received. See Appendix E16.
There are no water reticulation or bulk water mains affected by this proposed development. There are no existing water reticulation mains servicing the proposed development.	
See Figure 1 <u>attached</u> as Annexure B for water reticulation system.	
4.2 Sewer Reticulation: There are no sewer reticulation mains affected by this proposed development. There are no existing sewer reticulation mains servicing the proposed development. See Figure 1 attached as Annexure B for	Service Confirmation letters have been received. See Appendix E16.
sewer reticulation system	



4.3 Bulk Water: There is no Bulk Water infrastructure in the vicinity of the proposed project area. The City's Bulk Water Branch will need to provide feedback pertaining to the actual permission to abstract the ground water	Service Confirmation letters have been received. See Appendix E16.
4.4 Wastewater Treatment Works: The proposed development falls within catchment of Wesfleur Industrial Wastewater Treatment Works	Service Confirmation letters have been received. See Appendix E16.
4.5 Water Demand Management Branch's Conclusion: A capacity analysis must be performed as there is limited water and sewer infrastructure in the vicinity. A request may be submitted to water.info@capetown.co.za. It is advisable that this capacity analysis is done prior to the draft BAR being released in order to attach the result to the draft BAR.	Service Confirmation letters have been received. See Appendix E16.

